Please consider forwarding a copy of your submission to $\underline{\textit{B+LNZ}}$ so we can echo your comments in our submission

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Waikato Regional Council's proposed Plan Change 1.

Our names are Hayden and Susan Brooks and we are third generation farmers at Matira (part of sub-catchment 16). We farm sheep and cattle on 1300ha of beautiful rolling hill country.

Along with my father and grandfather before me we have had a long history of diligent land use and continue this to this day. We have a stringent nutrient plan in place to minimize the adverse effect of fertilizer on land and water ways.

On the farm we have annual tree planting plan which includes up 200 popular poles and recently some native tree plantings and have also started retiring land for pine tree planting.

We are also very involved in keeping feral animals to a minimum to minimize contamination.

We belong to a close knit and vibrant community of people who care about their land and regularly get together to discuss best farming practice. Our farm is more than just our livelihood, it is our home and where we spend so much of our time as a family. Our vision is to leave our two children with a productive farming operation which they can continue and be proud of.

Waikato Regional Council

2 2 FEB 2017

Pers Resp: Jenni S



Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On:

The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To:

Waikato Regional Council 401 Grey Street Hamilton East Private bag 3038 Waikato Mail Center HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Hayden Gregory and Susan Jennifer Brooks

Phone (Hm): 07 825 4500

Phone (Wk): 07 825 4500

Postal Address: 780 Matira Road, RD 2, Ngaruawahia 3794

Phone (Cell): 0274 809 624

Postcode: 3794

Email: hsbrooks@outlook.co.nz

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Signature

date

beef+lamb new zealand

The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	I negecision I would like the Walkato Kegional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	 State: whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1; brief reasons for your views. 	 Give: precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision	Ioppose	I seekthattheprovision is: Deleted in its entirety
	The reasons for this are:	
Long Term Land Use	 This creates too much uncertainty as we don't know how much land potentially has to go into 	
Objectives: 1,3 and 4	forestry/native bush	
Policy 5 and 7	 This creates potential for capital devaluation and unwillingness to invest in the future 	
Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.5		
Schedule 1		

Provision	I oppose The reasons for this are:	I seekthattheprovisionis: Deletedinitsentirety
Nitrogen Reference Point	 We are already low emitters and this does not 	
Objectives: 1 and 4	support those who have done the right thing by the environment and effectively rewards high emitters	
Policy 2 and 7	 This is a poor nutrient allocation process 	
Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7	 Sampling at the Rangiriri/Glen Murray Bridge 	
Schedule 1	shows that the sub- catchment is already at an acceptable level	

				Stock Exclusion Rules 3.11.5.1 to 3.11.5.4	Provision
 There is no plan to control the goats and feral deer that will make these buffer zones hard to maintain. 	 The timing is unachievable for us in a priority 1 catchment. We require more time to plan and prepare in order to do a proper job. 	 In the past, there have been cost subsidies to achieve this and there is nothing in the plan to indicate that this will continue. 	 The cost of fencing hill country farms is prohibitive as demonstrated by the Federated Farmers study. 	 That the National Water Accord only recommends that slopes up to 15 degrees be fenced, and this should apply. Also, it recommends a different definition of a water body which we are more comfortable with. 	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:
			amendments arising from the submission process	That the rules are changed to reflect recommendations by the National Policy Statement on Fresh Water. That the timelines are extended to allow better decision making with any consequential	I seekthattheprovisionis: amended as set out below: As an alternative I propose

		Rule 3.11.5.7	Policy 6	Restricting Land Use		Provision
 It undermines the land owners right to utilize their land with current best practice. 	 It restricts our ability to react to changes in the market as well climatic changes and adverse weather effects. 	 This restricts the ability of future generations to develop the farming business. 	 We feel that this is covered by all the other rules contained in the proposed plan. 	 This impacts on the potential value of our land into the future. 	The reasons for this are:	Ioppose
						I seekthattheprovision is: Deleted in its entirety

The reasons for this are:
ממכינים מות יכו ממכין איות הות כי ווכר אכם איותיו

Provision	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend	I seekthatthe provision is: amended as set out below
	The reasons for this are:	As an alternative I propose
	The contribution that he core make peeds to be included	That the plan is adjusted to contain rules for the
	in this proposed plan	amendments arising from the submission
Objectives: 1 and 3		process
Policy 1,2,4 and 7		
Rules 3.11.5.3 to 3.11.5.7		
Schedule 1		
Table 11-1		

Sub-catchment Management Policy: 9 Implementation method: 3.11.4.1 and 3.11.4.5	Provision
ollicy: 9 method: 1.4.5	
 We support the policy of a sub-catchment approach this is a fairer way of governing, problem areas within the catchment and promoting good catchment management. 	I support and for each whether or not you wish to amend The reasons for this are:
We would like the allowance for sub-catchment approach included in the rules with any consequential amendments arising from the submission process	I seek that theprovisionis: amended as set out below As an alternative I propose

Yours sincerely

Hayden Brooks.

Swen Brooks

16/212017

Date

Signature