Submission Form

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchmenis

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street
Hamilton East
Private bag 3038
Waikato Mail Center
HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Heather & Murray Templeton.

Phone (Hm): 07 8787824.

Phone (Wk): As above.

Postal Address: 519 Ahoroa Rd, RD2 Te Kuiti 3982.

Phone (Cell): DZI 765 824.

Postcode: 3982.

Email: h.M. templetono formside.co.nz.

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

 $\frac{21-2-17}{\text{Signature}}$

M Templeton.

beef+lamb

72-2-17.

Thank you for the opportunity to submit on the Walkato Regional Council, proposed Plan 1.

Our names are Heather & Murray Templeton.

Our family home farmed at 519 Ahoroa Road in Rangitoto, 15 kms east of Te kulti since 1952. The form was owned by Murray's father, & Murray has been farming this property since 1972. We are therefore, second generation farmers, looking to hand onto the rext

generation.

we form deer, sheep & dairy grazing, on a 320 ha property, with our catchment flowing into the Mangaokewa River, in Priority Catchment One. We have been a finalist in the Silver Fern Farms,

Plate to Poisture Amounds in 2014 + 2016.

Plans for the future include - ongoing fencing, continuing planting of poplar/willow trees and reducing our environmental impact on waterways.

Provision

Fram Environment flours

(upport) oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend (clelete as required) with ammendments

The reasons for this are:

- this process we keel Provision 3.11.4.3. Will identify key issues with our form, that we can work towards over time.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed (amended as set out)

below Idelete as required)

As an alternative I propose

What would be an appropriate afternative

- As an alternative we propose that this rule should focus More on mangement practices that May be applicable now & in the future.

- We have doubts that the time-frames can be achieved, due to a lack of qualified Farm Environnembre Planners. the time frames need to be extended.

to ar	port/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish nend (delete arregimed) easons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required) As an alternative I propose What would be an appropriate alternative
-------	---	---

Schedule C -Stock Exclusion.

I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required)

The reasons for this are:

our property is 376 ha. which is currently main subdivioled into 78 Pavoldochs. 14 pauldochs do not have matural water sources, which is approx 40 ha. Under the proposed scheme, the majority of our farm would repuire realignment of tences and orrainage, which we estimate would be costing 400,000. the time frame to spend this Money is too tight for us in a Priority

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below (delete as required)

As an alternative I propose

What would be an appropriate alternative

that the National Water Accord be used to identify water bodies, It recommends that slopes up to 15° be fenced the we believe that this should apply. It ouso recommends a different definition of a water body, which we would be comfortable with

Finances vory from year to year, so this has to be taken into account in the time frame.

Provision

Policy 1 and Policy 2, regarding Nitrogen Reference Point:

I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend (delete as required)

The reasons for this are:

- 1. Overseer is a poor regulatory tool & in Our view does not understand dry stock, especially deer farming, which it was never programmed for
- 2. It is our unfair process of removing the notural copital of low emitters to higher emitters.

I seek that the provision is Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below (delete as required)

As an alternative I propose

What would be an appropriate alternative

- Better use of form environment plans to determine land use capability, as a measure of nutrient allocation.

Yours sincerely

Murray Templeton.

22-2-17.

Heather Margaret Templeton.

Signature Date