## **Submission Form**

Submission on a publically notified proposed Regional Plan prepared under the Resource Management Act 1991.

On: The Waikato Regional Councils proposed Waikato Regional Plan Change 1 -

Waikato and Waipa River Catchments

To: Waikato Regional Council

401 Grey Street
Hamilton East
Private bag 3038
Waikato Mail Center
HAMILTON 3240

Complete the following

Full Name: Jonathan William Leineweber

Phone (Hm): 07 - 8786792

Phone (Wk):

Postal Address: 176 Mangatra Rd, RDI, Te Kuti

Phone (Cell): 021 085 730 87

Postcode: 3981

Email: arazkcaj @ gmail. com

I am not a trade competitor for the purposes of the submission but the proposed plan has a direct impact on my ability to farm. If changes sought in the plan are adopted they may impact on others but I am not in direct trade competition with them.

I wish to be heard in support of this submission.

Signature

date



The specific provisions my submission relates to are:	My submission is that:	The decision I would like the Waikato Regional Council to make is:
State specifically what Objective, Policy, Rule, map, glossary, or issue you are referring to.	<ul> <li>State:</li> <li>whether you support, or oppose each provision listed in column 1;</li> <li>brief reasons for your views.</li> </ul>	precise details of the outcomes you would like to see for each provision. The more specific you can be the easier it will be for the Council to understand the outcome you seek
Provision  "The specific provisions of the proposal that this submission  Pelates to and the decisions it seeks from council are as detailed in the following table. The outcomes sought and the wording used is as a suggestion only, where a suggestion is proposed it is with the intention of for words to that effect. The Outcomes sought may require consequential changes to the plan, including	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/Retained as proposed/amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

Provision

objectives, Policies, or other rules, or restructuring of the plan, or parts thereof ito give effect to the relief sought".

I oppose this plan in it's current form. The plan in it's current form provides no certainty askwhat will happen in tolore. This is unacceptable to furners who will be required to invest substantial money and time to comply with the plan. I strongly feel that in it's current form, that the plan should be declined.

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

**Provision** 

Nitrogen Reference point and use of overseer.
Rules 3 11.5.3 to 3 11.5.3 to 3 11.5.7 (inclusive)
Schedule B and all other areas in pcl which refer to the Nitrogen Reference Point

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

- The setting of a nitrogen basel reference point based on the 2015 and 2016 financial years is in effect rewarding farms that are historically high emitters (farm) that are intensive and well developed) The preparty that I am munaging has historically been a very low emitter. This provision will not allow us to "catch up" with more productive ferms. This will Severely limit Rhor income patential, and ability for farm to afford to fonce waterways, put in water reticulation

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

Provision	I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:  I also believe that there is evidence that Nitrogen loss is not an issue in our particular area.  In regard to the use of overseer,  I den't think that it is accounte enough to be taken as gespel.	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose
-----------	--	--

Provision

Stock exclusion

Rule 3 11.5.1, 3.11.5.2, 3 11.5.3, 3.11.5 4 and Schedule C.

to amend

The reasons for this are:

- The proposed Fencing off streams soul, quite simple, but in reclity there are - possibly Fish and Game Could many issues that don't appear to come on board. They could possibly be given task of planting, weed of this is the ongoing fence maintenancy control etc. This would help weed control et

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

provide hebitet for ducks etc. some research may need to be done into what effect increased duck population may have on water quality

Provision

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

- The cost of fencing streams on

  steeper hill country is very expensive.

  Much of this fencing would also
  involve substantial earth works to
  get a fence line This would
  piebably result in sediment loss
  into the waterway The very
  thing we are trying to prevent.
- much of the farm that I am
  managing, and many other hill country
  farms have historically been subdivided
  to provide natural water to stock.
  it we have very minimal water
  reticulation, and are not in

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

- The task of fencing smeller streams on steeper hill country should be put on hold until More research is done to provide evidence of the aventures of doing so

Provision	I support oppose and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:  a finencial position to convently invest in such infrastructure. This problem will be exaggerated in the N. R.P is breight into effect.	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose  - The implications of stock exclusion on steeper and maner extensive hill country need, to be considured in more depth. A blanked appreach may not be the best solution  - Land deemed to be high risk could be planted back into book ferhaps forest and Bind would be prepared to undertake This. Farmer would need to be compensated myse  75% of gross form income ha for each hectary planted into inclive book. Could this se funded through an environmental tex?

**Provision** 

Land Un Change Ruly 3.11.5.7

Farm Environment Plan,.
Rules 3.11.5.1, 3.11.52,
3.11.5.3, 3.11.5.4, 3.11.5.5,
3.11.5.6, 3.11.5.7

I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

If farmer, and to survive, by
need the ability to adopt to
Change in clinite, market force,
and the other endless thing,
that we have no control over
This is part of farming.

not going to fix prillen.

This is another exemple of unpreductive paper work being forced on fermers.

There is prtential for someone to make obscens amonts of money from this newly munted industry.

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

- Use of 'best practice'
approach to land use, rether
than simplific 'one size Rits
all!

- We need to be shown her there plans will be constructed, and how gains will be quantified.

- who will pay?

Provision

Removal of North Eastern Portion of the proposed plan - 3-2 December 2010

I support/oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend

The reasons for this are:

- For this plan to work we can
not have some people in and some

I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below

As an alternative I propose

- PCI process should be put on held until everyone implicated in the plan is included.

Provision	I support/ oppose/ and for each whether or not you wish to amend  The reasons for this are:	I seek that the provision is: Deleted in its entirety/ Retained as proposed/ amended as set out below  As an alternative I propose

Yours sincerely Jon Lainevelot

Signature

5/3/17 Date